

**VILLAGE OF HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON, NEW YORK
PLANNING BOARD
REGULAR MEETING AND PUBLIC HEARING
MAY 17, 2012**

A Regular Meeting and Public Hearing was held by the Planning Board on Thursday, May 17, 2012 at 8:15 p.m. in the Municipal Building Meeting Room, 7 Maple Avenue, Hastings-on-Hudson, New York, 10706.

PRESENT: Chairperson Patricia Speranza, Boardmember Eva Alligood, Boardmember James Cameron, Boardmember Rebecca Strutton, Boardmember Rhoda Barr, Village Attorney Marianne Stecich, Deputy Building Inspector Charles Minozzi, Jr., and Village Clerk Susan Maggiotto

I. ROLL CALL

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Meeting of April 19, 2012

Chairperson Speranza: First order of business is the approval of the minutes from our meeting on April 19. Are there any comments or questions? Eva? Go ahead.

Boardmember Alligood: I found a couple of minor errors. On page 37, where I'm speaking the second time – it's about four paragraphs down – the second sentence reads: *"I think that part of what needs to happen in the interrogation going forward ..."* That was "iteration," not "interrogation."

Boardmember Strutton: It might feel like it sometimes.

Boardmember Alligood: Exactly. And then on the next page, 38, the first paragraph where I'm speaking, on the second line, second sentence the last word should be plural: "issues."

And then the final sentence in that paragraph, where I ask the question, it should say "what's the point of making all this effort." It says *"what's at the point."* It should be "what's 'the' point."

And that's it.

Chairperson Speranza: OK. Anything else?

On MOTION of Boardmember Alligood, SECONDED Boardmember Strutton by with a

voice vote of all in favor, the Minutes of the Regular Meeting and Public Hearing of April 19, 2012 were approved as amended.

III. OLD PUBLIC HEARINGS (Continued)

1. Preservation and Amendment to Previously-Approved Site Plan

Application of Louis Zazzarino for the addition of two stories and other needed alterations to an existing single-story building at 400 Warburton Avenue to convert it into four (4) townhouses. Said property is in MR-O Zoning District and is also known as Sheet 7, Block 613 and Lots 14, 15 & 16 on the Village tax Maps.

Chairperson Speranza: This is a public hearing for view preservation and an amendment to a previously-approved site plan, previously approved by the Planning Board not long ago.

So Mr. Lerner? This is for structure alterations for the conversion of a building at 400 Warburton Avenue to four townhouses. Welcome back.

Lanny Lerner, AIA: Good to see you all again. Since we last met, I went to the Zoning Board. The Zoning Board made some suggestions. I went back to the Zoning Board and made some minor changes to what I thought was a perfect plan when you approved it.

But the difference is that we dropped the building by an additional 16 inches and moved it back an additional 2 feet, moved it back off the front. So it can only be – at least I think – better than what was already approved by this board. I have pictures, I don't know if you want to see them. We re-erected the ribbon and, Patty, I know you saw that.

Chairperson Speranza: Right.

Mr. Lerner: You can see everything over that ribbon.

Chairperson Speranza: Yes.

Mr. Lerner: I can show you what the history is. You might be interested. These are three different planes. The one in yellow is the proposal, the current proposal. The one behind it is what we originally started with, which is almost 7 feet different in height. So I congratulate you on getting me to do this. Big difference.

Chairperson Speranza: Sometimes it works.

Mr. Lerner: Yeah. And the front plane is what's existing. In the existing, there's a red line right here. All of this comes off. That's the parapet wall. So everything is going to be exposed. What's currently there is going to be much lower, and everything else is going to be set back at least 14-1/2 feet. The projection is 14-1/2, the rest of it is 16 feet.

So I think we have a good project here.

Chairperson Speranza: And you have the connection down to the Quarry Trail.

Mr. Lerner: That's right.

Chairperson Speranza: It was very interesting. The day I was up there looking where you had revised the ribbon, I went down and I walked down there. It will be very nice to have a connection back to Warburton.

Mr. Lerner: It certainly will because it's tough to get to.

Chairperson Speranza: I actually went right through the back of your building to get at Marble Terrace.

Mr. Lerner: That's all I have.

Boardmember Alligood: This is very helpful, the drawing you just presented. It shows the whole story.

Mr. Lerner: The history, yeah. A lot's changed.

Chairperson Speranza: OK. Yes, do you have a question?

Mitchell Koch, 20 Marble Terrace: I'm here just as an interested member of the public? Could I see it?

Chairperson Speranza: Oh, sure. Come on up. Yeah, this is a public hearing.

Mr. Koch: Hi. Coincidentally, I live on Marble Terrace, but I'm just interested. It's a very interesting project, so I just wanted to take a peak.

Chairperson Speranza: Oh, sure.

Mr. Lerner: Come around the front.

Chairperson Speranza: Yeah, definitely.

Mr. Lerner: This is the proposal, this yellow. All of this is what we're building, or proposing to build. This is, as you know, existing. And this is where we started. This is the whole bag.

Mr. Koch: And this, you're saying, is pushed back off.

Mr. Lerner: It's 14-1/2 feet off the face, yes.

Boardmember Strutton: So when you said you moved back an additional 2 feet, you're talking about the two-story setback.

Mr. Lerner: The whole addition.

Boardmember Strutton: But not the first story, which is the existing storefront.

Mr. Lerner: Right. This is existing.

Chairperson Speranza: If there are no further questions or comments, we have a couple of motions. The first, I guess, Marianne, the Zoning Board would not have acted yet, then, on view preservation, would they? Because it had to come back to us?

Village Attorney Stecich: No, they did act. They figured they were comfortable doing it because what he's done has even less impact on the view.

Chairperson Speranza: Right, than what we had recommended.

Village Attorney Stecich: And they raised it. They never would have done it. They sent it back because he was really coming back mainly because the site plan has changed and the site plan had to be modified. So you may as well just do everything.

Chairperson Speranza: We'll just do both.

Village Attorney Stecich: I would do them both.

Boardmember Cameron: I'm in favor of this. I just see you've now put elevators in on all

the units.

Mr. Lerner: Well, they were originally drawn in.

Boardmember Cameron: Oh, were they really?

Chairperson Speranza: I remember that.

Mr. Lerner: It was an option, and it may still be an option depending on what they cost.

Boardmember Cameron: I guess I have a question. Is this approval conditioned on him putting in the access to the Quarry Trail? I want to make sure.

Chairperson Speranza: It's part of the site plan. Yes, we can emphasize that.

Boardmember Cameron: Yeah, I think we should. I'm sure you want to do it, but we actually have a history, on occasion, of having things that people agree to do never happen.

Mr. Lerner: I'm shocked.

Boardmember Cameron: I know, shocking. But I just want to make sure that it actually goes in.

Chairperson Speranza: OK, then can I have a motion for revision to the site plan, inclusive of a connection down to Quarry Trail for the conversion of the existing structure at 400 Warburton Avenue into the four townhouses pursuant to the plans we are looking at, dated received by the Village May 2, 2012?

On MOTION of Boardmember Strutton, SECONDED by Boardmember Cameron with a voice vote of all in favor, the Board resolved to approve amendment dated May 2, 2012 to previously approved site plan, inclusive of a connection to the Quarry Trail, for the conversion of the existing building into four (4) townhouses.

Chairperson Speranza: And just to cover our bases, also a motion concurring with the Zoning Board's action on view preservation for the project.

On MOTION of Boardmember Strutton, SECONDED by Boardmember Cameron with a

voice vote of all in favor, the Board resolved to concur with the Zoning Board's action on view preservation for the project.

Chairperson Speranza: OK, thank you.

Mr. Lerner: And thank *you* very much.

Chairperson Speranza: We're looking forward to seeing it.

Boardmember Alligood: Yes, we look forward to seeing it.

Mr. Lerner: I look forward to building it.

Boardmember Barr: When are you going to start work?

V. NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Accessory Apartment Permit Renewal

Application for Serge Ciampa for property at 185 Warburton Avenue - Sheet 3/Block 607/Lots 28B, 29, 30A, 30B, 31 & 32B. Waiver required for square footage.

Chairperson Speranza: OK, next item on the agenda: new public hearings. First is an existing accessory apartment renewal for a property at 185 Warburton Avenue. Mr. Ciampa, you've been before us several times, and you're here for a renewal again.

Mr. Ciampa: Yes, I am.

Chairperson Speranza: Buddy, do you want to take us through any changes to the application?

Deputy Building Inspector Minozzi: Sure, Madam Chair. There have been no changes, there have been no complaints. However, we do need a waiver for the 14.49 percent that exceeds the 25 percent maximum square footage.

Chairperson Speranza: Right, OK. But nothing else has changed.

Deputy Building Inspector Minozzi: Nothing else has changed. Everything is the same. And there have been no complaints.

Chairperson Speranza: OK. This is a public hearing. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak about the accessory apartment renewal?

That being said, we'll consider the public hearing closed and questions or comments from Boardmembers? Anyone wish to make a motion for renewal of the accessory apartment unit at 185 Warburton Avenue to include a waiver on the square footage requirement?

MOTION of Boardmember Strutton, SECONDED by Boardmember Cameron with a voice vote of all in favor, the Board resolved to approve accessory apartment permit renewal including waiver for square footage.

Chairperson Speranza: OK, thank you. See you in three years.

Mr. Ciampa: Good night.

2. View Preservation and Site Plan Approval

Application of Lisa Globenfelt (Contract Vendee) for the alterations to the façade/storefront on the first floor of a mixed-use building at 2-4 Main Street. Said property is in CC Zoning District and is also known as Sheet 4.70, Block 50, Lot 10 on the Village Tax Maps.

Chairperson Speranza: Next item on the agenda, another public hearing: view preservation and site plan approval for the application of alterations to the façade of the storefront at 2 Main Street. We're going back in history. I have to say this application was really interesting.

Mr. Koch, project architect: I'm here now with a different hat on, as an architect for Chelsea's Closet. You guys saw the little Photoshop thing that I did. This is just an enlargement of what I sent you, in fact.

This building was originally built with three stores. Not originally originally. Originally – actually we have some older photographs from the Historical Society, just as an aside, which doesn't have any bearing at all – the entire thing was a bootery, in fact, in the late 19th

century. There was not this extension on the front either.

Chairperson Speranza: Yeah, you can see that.

Mr. Koch: That little Spanish tile thing must have come in the '20s, I think. There was sort of an affection for that Mediterranean look at that time, and they did it up.

Anyway, you go into the building it's very clear all the electrical is set up for three stores. I mean, the switches are still there, actually. So we got these great photographs from the Historical Society. You can see the door in the corner in this one. I'm probably wrong on the dates. In retrospect, I think this may be the early '60s because I can't see any boys with long hair.

But this is not the look – can everybody see it? – that we're really proposing. I just put it together quickly. I mean, essentially it will look like that, but the paint color and the façade and the signage will be redone. And we're working on that, but this was obviously the first step. I'm hoping this is a slam dunk. Because a) they didn't do a very good job when they restored it after the accident, and; b) I think the doorway would really activate the corner in a great way.

For the new owner of the building, it gives her an opportunity now to divide up the spaces and rent them individually if she chose to do that down the road, although that's not her intention right now. I think right now she's going to take those two spaces for Chelsea's Closet.

Chairperson Speranza: Wow.

Boardmember Alligood: And the vacant hairdresser shop, that's part of the building? And she's going to keep it separate?

Mr. Koch: That's part of the building. She wants to rent it, yeah. So that remains to be seen. I think everything's just going to wait, unless Crossroads rents it, of course. If she gets a tenant I guess that would be terrific. And depending upon budget, we may just gut it and make it a little bit more enticing to the new tenant. But it's great. She's got such a great eye I know that it's going to be a terrific-looking store.

Boardmember Cameron: So the change in the Hastings Bootery sign is your humor, is it? "Hastings Foolery." Make sure we're watching?

Mr. Koch: Yeah, just keeping an eye on you.

Boardmember Alligood: Well, I think it's wonderful and I'm looking forward to seeing it improved. I think that notion of activating the corner is great, so I don't see any problems with it.

Chairperson Speranza: Nor do I. It is a public hearing. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak or ask a question about the application?

We have a short-form Environmental Assessment Form filled out complete.

Mr. Koch: I did want to turn over the mailings. One came back, but that's all the others.

Village Attorney Stecich: And I assume it's been before the ARB, and design?

Mr. Koch: Not yet. That's down the road.

Village Attorney Stecich: But you've got the design guidelines and stuff, OK.

Chairperson Speranza: I wanted to make sure of that. That we can take an action prior to the ARB.

Village Attorney Stecich: Yes.

Chairperson Speranza: OK, great.

Mr. Koch: Yeah, sign and color will come before ARB. And I'm sorry to interrupt, but I will be bringing this to them at our next meeting just to put it out there. I'm on the ARB, I'll offer to recuse myself, of course. But it's always great to get input early.

Boardmember Cameron: I think you're also the source for the dog trash barrel on the Aqueduct.

Mr. Koch: Oh, yeah.

Boardmember Cameron: Thank you very much.

Mr. Koch: Yeah, my dog pooped.

Village Attorney Stecich: Patty, this may not be subject to SEQRA. I'm not sure, but why don't you just assume it is and neg dec it just in case.

Chairperson Speranza: So then we have a couple of actions here. The first is a motion to issue a negative declaration on the environmental aspects of this project, meaning that we don't see that this will have any kind of impact on the environment.

On MOTION of Boardmember Cameron, SECONDED by Boardmember Alligood with a voice vote of all in favor, the Board resolved to issue a negative declaration under the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") on the environmental aspects of the application for alterations to the façade/storefront

Chairperson Speranza: And the second is site plan approval for the modification for the façade at 24 Main Street, a site plan approval.

On MOTION of Boardmember Cameron, SECONDED by Boardmember Strutton with voice vote of all in favor, the Board approved the site plan for the modification for the façade at 24 Main Street.

Mr. Koch: Thank you very much.

Village Attorney Stecich: You can use these for the ARB.

Boardmember Barr: Always good to have the downtown being revitalized.

Chairperson Speranza: Oh, sure.

3. View Preservation Approval

Application of Richard Saunderson and Sylvia Lee for the addition of a second story to their house at 298 Warburton Avenue. Said property is in the R-7.5 zoning district and is known as Sheet 10, Block 604 and lots 20 through 24 on the Village Tax Maps.

Chairperson Speranza: Next item on the agenda is view preservation approval. This is a recommendation to the Zoning Board of Appeals for a two-story property at 298 Warburton Avenue. Mr. Saunderson?

Richard Saunderson, applicant - 298 Warburton Avenue: The application here is for view preservation only. There are no variances requested; everything is as of right. The property is on lower Warburton Avenue, a few houses north of Pinecrest Drive. It's on the east side of the street between Warburton Avenue and the Aqueduct. Of course, behind the house there's also the paper street known as Aqueduct Lane, which is a mapped but unbuilt street.

The application is pretty straightforward. What we want to do is put a second-story addition on the main footprint of the house and a small one-story addition in the front as an entrance. So the additions are beyond the front yard setback. These photos show the existing conditions: the view from the Aqueduct, the house to the south, the view of the Aqueduct with the house to the north. Both houses to my north and south have a second story. These are the views from the street.

This is a view which was taken a couple of months ago in the winter when the leaves were off the trees. It shows the existing view of the Palisades and the Hudson River. Superimposed is an outline of the bulk which would be traded by the second story. And I think this illustrates that there's minimal impact on the view of the Hudson River and the Palisades. This is the view a couple of weeks ago, April 29, with the foliage in place. So basically, you can't see anything.

And the final view is a composite which actually shows the view from the Palisades and the river, panning around to the north to show the actual Aqueduct Trail and the houses to the east on Pinecrest. This is actually the last house on Pinecrest Parkway – I believe 115 is the number – which is probably 25 feet above the Aqueduct. The Aqueduct is probably 35 feet above the main grade of my property.

So that basically is the synopsis. And if anyone has any questions?

Chairperson Speranza: This is a public hearing. There's no one here to have any public comment, so we'll consider the public hearing closed.

Boardmembers, questions, comments, a motion? This would be a motion for recommendation to the Zoning Board of Appeals for view preservation approval for property at 298 Warburton Avenue.

On MOTION of Boardmember Alligood, SECONDED by Boardmember Cameron with a voice vote of all in favor, the Board resolved to recommend view preservation approval to

Zoning Board of Appeals for addition of second story.

Chairperson Speranza: Thank you.

Mr. Saunderson: Thank you.

Chairperson Speranza: This was my Aunt Julie's house that you're living in.

Mr. Saunderson: I know.

Chairperson Speranza: She would be thrilled you've been there for, what, 20 years now?

Mr. Saunderson: Almost. About 19 years now.

Chairperson Speranza: Yeah, she'd be thrilled you're there, and it's a great family unit. It's wonderful.

Mr. Saunderson: Thank you.

2. View Preservation and Site Plan Approval (continued)

Application of Lisa Globenfelt (Contract Vendee) for alterations to the façade/storefront on the first floor of a mixed-use building at 2-4 Main Street. Said property is in CC Zoning District and is also known as Sheet 4.70, Block 50, Lot 10 on the Village Tax Maps.

Village Attorney Stecich: Patty, I just noticed something on the agenda. It had on the previous one, the Mitch Koch one, also view preservation approval.

Chairperson Speranza: I guess so. Maybe it is in the view preservation district.

Village Attorney Stecich: It's all so much lower that I think you can still vote on it. You could vote on it tonight because it's not affecting the view at all. I would just do it.

Boardmember Cameron: Did we get the mailings on this?

Deputy Building Inspector Minozzi: I believe all the mailings are in there.

Chairperson Speranza: Then let's go back to the previous agenda item having to do with the façade changes at 2 Main Street. Could I have a motion for recommendation to the Zoning Board of Appeals for view preservation approval?

On MOTION of Boardmember Cameron, SECONDED by Boardmember Strutton with voice vote of all in favor, the Board approved recommendation to the Zoning Board of Appeals for view preservation approval.

Boardmember Cameron: This is the first time we've ever voted on an indentation as being a view preservation issue.

Chairperson Speranza: That's true.

VI. DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Site Plan, View Preservation and Steep Slopes Checklists.

Chairperson Speranza: OK, checklists. The only thing I'm going to say, I'd rather do this when we have Kathy here, as well. But one of the things that I had thought – and Susan and Marianne, maybe you remember this – there used to be something on the application for view preservation that very specifically identified what was to be required.

You know, we were talking at the last meeting how we're surprised that the photographs don't always come with the outlines? Well, when I looked at the application, the application to the Zoning Board for variances, it's "variance/view preservation." So it doesn't list any of those, any of the requirements, but I think it did maybe 15 years ago.

Boardmember Barr: I think I probably have it in my files.

Chairperson Speranza: Oh. If you happen to find one, that would be great.

Boardmember Barr: Yeah, I think I have them at home.

Chairperson Speranza: That would be great.

Kathy had sent around a checklist for site plan and for steep slopes. When I was looking for a view preservation code, I did find something from Irvington that I want to work with her.

The file she sent out was a PDF. The checklist from Irvington also has a column that provides for a waiver. Of course I can't find it now. It has "if it's been received, if it's forthcoming, or if it's waived."

So for instance in an application like Main Street, though it's site plan approval, we don't necessarily need the landscaping plan and all of the other things that are indicated in the code. That could, in the checklist, just say there is a recognition that it may be required under site plan application standards, but in this instance we're waiving it because it's foolish.

Village Attorney Stecich: But the thing is, only you can waive it. So they put in their application, they have to put it in.

Boardmember Alligood: It could say "requested."

Village Attorney Stecich: Because unless you want to let the Building Inspector waive it – you certainly can't have the applicant waiving it – it would have to say "a request for waiver."

Chairperson Speranza: Well, the way this is set up it's the applicant ... the way that Kathy had it was, the applicant checks one column that it's provided, and then it's noted that it's been received or not received. So it's as if the applicant fills out the checklist and sends it to – Eva's got it there ...

Boardmember Alligood: Yeah, it says "checked by reviewer."

Chairperson Speranza: Right, checked by the reviewer.

Boardmember Alligood: And it could have a third column that says "waived by review."

Chairperson Speranza: Waived, yes.

Village Attorney Stecich: But that's a question: whether you want to give Deven, the Building Department, the authority to waive certain requirements.

Boardmember Strutton: Well, you could have a recommendation for a waiver from the Building Department.

Boardmember Cameron: Yeah, you could say "waiver requested."

Boardmember Strutton: Not actually have the Building Department give the waiver.

Village Attorney Stecich: I mean, I think that's something you've got to think about. Because I have frequently gotten calls from Deven saying, "Hey, listen, this doesn't make any sense. Can I waive it?" I say, "You really can't. It's up to the Planning Board to waive it."

Boardmember Alligood: I would say "recommended by reviewer" – "waiver recommended by reviewer" – and then we can say we actually would like to see this information.

Village Attorney Stecich: But wouldn't you know, though? If it's not checked, then it's up to you guys to say, oh, it's OK if it's not there.

Chairperson Speranza: But I think it just goes to determining the completeness of the application.

Village Attorney Stecich: Just make another call, though – why Irvington is different. Let me tell you why the Irvington one doesn't quite apply. Because in Irvington the code permits a waiver.

Boardmember Cameron: A waiver by who?

Chairperson Speranza: Well, we'll have to work on ...

Village Attorney Stecich: Certain things. People can come in. Site plan approval is required of virtually anything there, but you can come in – and I don't quite understand why, since you have to come in anyway – and request a waiver.

Chairperson Speranza: Right.

Village Attorney Stecich: So these people would be requesting a waiver because the code says that site plan review can be waived on certain things. It can't be on certain things. If you're west of Broadway it can't be. It's a little bit different because there's a code provision for a waiver.

Chairperson Speranza: We are waiving it. We're doing that now. Like Chelsea's Closet, that application for site plan approval. And the site plan approval checklist, when you look at what's technically required for the application, would have been absolutely ridiculous to have them submit.

Boardmember Alligood: Well, Patty, there's another column on the Irvington list here. The

first one is not applicable.

Chairperson Speranza: OK, there you go. That's true. Maybe that's a better comfort level than "waiver requested."

Deputy Building Inspector Minozzi: Patty, this is why Deven and I have been speaking a lot lately about making modifications to view preservation and site plan approval, for jobs exactly like this one. So something like that wouldn't even have to come here. Not that we're trying to take anything away from the Board. It's just certain things don't make sense.

Chairperson Speranza: Right.

Village Attorney Stecich: And we've talked about that.

Boardmember Strutton: I guess the question still remains who determines "not applicable." If the Building Inspector says, "Well, Applicant, we don't think this is applicable," then it comes to us and we say, "Well, actually we'd like to see that."

Village Attorney Stecich: You just say you want to see it.

Chairperson Speranza: And Deven has been really good about sending stuff to us and saying it doesn't make sense, I don't think we should have them do that. And sometimes it's, "No, you have to do that" – they have to do that, and they have to come to us. So we do conversations before the meeting so that it is then possible.

And, of course, then we're entitled to request anything we want if we need information about the water courses through the property. And that actually happened once, too; where someone came to a meeting, and said, "Well, what about the stream?" What's going to happen when they start building and the stream that goes under that property is impacted?

Boardmember Strutton: I don't remember that one.

Chairperson Speranza: And we all went, "What stream?"

Boardmember Cameron: I remember the stream, and the property.

Chairperson Speranza: And that's something different. We say, "Well, OK, let's hear more about this."

Anyway, that was my thought. That we take this up in earnest at the next meeting. Because

I think we've got some good start here.

Boardmember Strutton: When you talk to Kathy, can you just ask her to rotate it and ...

Chairperson Speranza: Make it bigger.

Boardmember Strutton: Put it this way. I mean, I hate to ...

Chairperson Speranza: Yes, I know.

Boardmember Strutton: I am still 29, but ...

Chairperson Speranza: Find a way to change it a little bit.

Boardmember Strutton: Just planning ahead.

Chairperson Speranza: OK. Anything else?

2. Green Plan Suggestions

Boardmember Cameron: We sent a lot of comments in on the green plan.

Chairperson Speranza: I haven't heard, and I know Deven couldn't be here. Marianne, maybe you can talk for a minute. I know the Board was talking about the buffer zones again at their meeting Tuesday, the Board of Trustees. That came up.

Village Attorney Stecich: They're discussing whether to create a buffer on Broadway south from about the downtown down, right?

Village Clerk Maggiotto: Pretty much. Including the Burke Estate.

Village Attorney Stecich: Right. And then a buffer on 9-A. But there's still quite a bit more thought that has to go into it, yeah.

Chairperson Speranza: Discussion, OK. I heard 5 minutes of the meeting, and that was it. But, Jamie, that's a good point. I'll find out what happened to the green code. There is certainly a lot for them to deal with.

Boardmember Strutton: One of the e-mails I thought sort of indicated that they were going

to get back together and look at comments.

Chairperson Speranza: Right, before it went to the Board of Trustees.

Deputy Building Inspector Minozzi: They have been meeting and having discussions.

Village Attorney Stecich: Who sent comments? I did. Jamie, you did?

Boardmember Strutton: I did.

Village Attorney Stecich: I didn't get yours.

Boardmember Strutton: You didn't get mine? All 10 pages of them?

Boardmember Cameron: I got yours.

Boardmember Strutton: Maybe five.

Village Attorney Stecich: I thought we going to all use the same ...

Boardmember Strutton: I did. I replied to one of the meeting ...

Boardmember Cameron: But no, you were not on that list. I stuck you on the list.

Village Attorney Stecich: I don't know why I'm not on the list. I got nothing from the green committee either.

Boardmember Cameron: Yeah, I know. When I looked at the list and I was sending it, I said, "Well, Marianne's not here." So I stuck you on my list.

Boardmember Strutton: Oh, I didn't realize you weren't on there. I'll forward them to you.

Village Attorney Stecich: OK, well then I'm glad I asked.

Boardmember Alligood: I haven't done mine yet.

Boardmember Strutton: When you do, put me on the list.

Boardmember Alligood: I will. What I was going to do, I thought there was such a rich conversation that took place that I kind of wanted to look at everybody's comments and then

weigh in. There's a lot.

Chairperson Speranza: I sent Sharon the minutes, and said, "Based on this and what you've heard from others" – especially with respect to the applicability – I said, "Just read through the minutes." That was really it. But I'll find out what's going on with that. I think, as you said, they're digesting and meeting to talk about it before it gets sent to the Board.

Boardmember Alligood: Yeah, there was some conversation – who's on that committee – about going back to some parts she felt had dropped out along the way, their revisions, and she wanted to bring some parts back in. So I think there's some more committee work that's going on.

Deputy Building Inspector Minozzi: Yeah, there was some procedural language that they had to work on.

Village Attorney Stecich: And Kathy's changes seemed really big, didn't they? I mean, didn't Kathy say it should just be voluntary for single-family houses?

Boardmember Alligood: Yeah, that's what I gathered.

Village Attorney Stecich: I was surprised. It was like pretty major.

Boardmember Strutton: I think mine was voluntary for under 1,000 square feet.

Boardmember Alligood: So they have a lot to hash out there.

Chairperson Speranza: Anything else for this evening? I'm OK for a short meeting.

Boardmember Alligood: The shortest one ever.

Boardmember Strutton: Makes up for those 11:30 ones.

Deputy Building Inspector Minozzi: We'll get home before the snow comes.

VII. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Next Meeting Date – June 21, 2012

Chairperson Speranza: Our next meeting is June 21.

Boardmember Cameron: And I won't be here.

Chairperson Speranza: And you won't be here. So I'm going to make a note of that right now.

Boardmember Barr: And I won't be available.

Chairperson Speranza: And you're not going to be available.

Boardmember Barr: The first day of the baseball season up in Troy.

Chairperson Speranza: Oh, wow. You know, I have had discussions with the Mayor and with the Village Manager, and we're supposed some résumés for our Planning Board member. I'll just get in touch with them again.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

On MOTION of Boardmember Cameron, SECONDED by Boardmember Alligood with a voice vote of all in favor, Chairperson Speranza adjourned the Regular Meeting at 8:50 p.m.